

**Travis County 2005 Bond Public Meeting • Speaker Summary**  
**Monday, July 25, 2005 • Pflugerville Justice Center**

NAME	COMMENTS
1. Larry Havel	Arterial A (Mobility Project #1): Is concerned about traffic on Springdale Road. He appreciates what the County has done with traffic calming devices. He mentioned that only one citizen who spoke in opposition of Arterial A at the meeting of June 22 <sup>nd</sup> lives in the neighborhood while the others do not. Those that don't are actually using Springdale to cut through. He urges committee to reconsider Arterial A and put it back on the bond project list and to start negotiating with Waste Management to obtain the right-of-way for Arterial A. Is in support of the drainage and flood plain project #6.
2. Mark McAfee	Is in favor of Arterial A, but wants a different alignment from what the County has shown him. Is in favor of Flood Plain #6. He mentioned that he owns a business on a street that intersects with Arterial A and is not for increasing traffic in the neighborhood, but he is in favor of finding a solution to the problem.
3. John Hutchinson	Is in favor of Arterial A: He stated that when the County approached them 9 years ago it was such an obvious, doable, logical, placement of a road; it didn't upset or interfere with any homes, it didn't go into anybody's residential property. He did mention that if Arterial A is not built, 31,042 homes that are in northeast Travis County that are being built on Pioneer Farms, will not have northbound access and drivers will cut through his neighborhood. His neighborhood has heavy traffic. Is concerned that, if the road doesn't get built and the bond package does not go through with Arterial A, Waste Management will probably get their permit and be able to extend the longevity of the landfill. Does not want to get stuck with both dirty ends of the stick; traffic and landfill that he doesn't want.
4. Andrea Michaels	Is in favor of Arterial A and drainage project on Walnut Creek. She has the same concerns as John Hutchinson. She did mention that the City of Austin has huge plans to annex this area around (SH130). Asked that the bond committee put Arterial A back on the bond package and in the CAMPO plan. The neighborhood cannot afford to wait any longer.
5. Kristina Kubeck	Supports the drainage and flood plain project #6. She lives on Quietie Drive and her backyard has suffered serious erosion and

is in danger of sliding into Walnut Creek. Is very glad the project made the list. Is also in support of Project #1 (Arterial A). She asked the bond committee to reconsider Arterial A. It's a desperately needed road.

6. Jeff Gullahorn Strongly supports the Howard Lane #1, Howard Lane #2 and Cameron Road projects.
7. Nathan Weiss Supports Howard Lane projects, Cameron Road and Pecan Street Projects.
8. Jane Falkenberg Supports Mobility Projects #1 (Arterial A) and drainage and flood plain project #6. She stated that Arterial A is desperately needed. If they don't get it her neighborhood is gone. She experiences traffic on a daily basis because her street is a shortcut. Traffic from Georgetown, Hutto, Round Rock, and Pflugerville cut through her street (she knows because she's behind them waiting to get onto 290; she reads the soccer mom signs & what school they go to on windows). She thanked Joe Gieselman and the County staff for putting up traffic calming devices in her neighborhood.
9. Joyce Thoresen In favor of Project #6. She asked the committee to reinstate Arterial A in the bond package. Arterial A is a safety and quality of life project.
10. Janet Marsh Asked the committee to reinstate Braker Lane II. She brought with her a printout that shows justification for reinstating Braker Lane II from FM 973 to Taylor Lane. Relayed that the developers were willing to cost participate with the County on the project from FM 973 to Taylor Lane (owner to provide ½ the cost of design, all the right-of-way and ½ the cost of construction for a 4-lane divided arterial). Relayed that she thought the owners between Taylor Lane and Burleson Manor Road would probably work with the County at the same cost participation level.
12. Pat McCord He explained the difference between a street skateboarder and a traditional one: a) a traditional boarder skates on concrete with rolling edges, and b) a street boarder skates on stairs, benches, etc. A street skate park is for a very advanced skating community. Is in favor of building a public skateboard that accommodates different skill levels. He showed committee slides of skate parks he visited, and submitted copies of the slides to the CBAC committee.